

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,164	12/01/2003	Yukitoshi Hirose	Q78699	9393
23373 7590 07/08/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			SAVLA, ARPAN P	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2185	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/724.164 HIROSE, YUKITOSHI Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2185 Arpan P. Savla All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Arpan P. Savla. (3) (2) Francis Plati (Attorney). (4)\_\_\_\_. Date of Interview: 12 June 2008. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)XI No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed the Examiner's interpretation of a "bi-directional bus" as detailed in the Office action dated February 15, 2008. The Examiner and Applicant's representative also discussed the "intended use" language used in the claims. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sanjiv Shah/ SPE AU 2185 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.